

United States Patent and Trademark Office

(IW)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/084,206	02/28/2002	Yi Li	PF191D1C1	6254
22195 75	590 03/16/2004		EXAM	INER `
	NOME SCIENCES INC AL PROPERTY DEPT.		ULM, JOHN D	
	GROVE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCKVILLE,	MD 20850		1646	

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/084,206	LI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		John D. Ulm	1646				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No	ovember 2003.					
2a)□		action is non-final.					
3)	<u> </u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,10-16,18,19 and 21-91</u> is/are pendir	ng in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,10-16,18 and 19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>21-91</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	_						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f).				
	All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati	on No				
	application from the International Bureau	· •	ou in the Hational Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)							
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>02/28/2002</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/084,206

Art Unit: 1646

1) Claims 1, 10 to 16, 18, 19 and 21 to 91 are pending in the instant application. Claims 2 to 9, 17 and 20 have been canceled, claims 1, 10 to 12, 16, 18 and 19 have been amended and claims 21 to 91 have been added as requested by Applicant in the correspondence filed 13 November of 2003.

Page 2

- 2) Claims 1, 10 to 16, 18, and 19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the correspondence filed 13 November of 2003. The traversal is on the ground(s) that a search of the different inventions in a single application would pose no undue burden. This is not found persuasive because M.P.E.P. 803 states that:
 - For purposes of the initial requirement, a serious burden on the examiner may be prima facie shown if the examiner shows by appropriate explanation either separate classification, separate status in the art, or a different field of search as defined in MPEP. 808.02. That prima facie showing may be rebutted by appropriate showings or evidence by the applicant."

Serious burden was shown in the original requirement by the separate classification and separate status in the art of the different inventions. Applicant has provided neither a showing or evidence to the contrary.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

3) Figure 1 of the instant application is presented on two separate panels. 37 C.F.R. 1.84(U)(1) states that partial views of a drawing which are intended to form one complete view, whether contained on one or several sheets, must be identified by the same number followed by a capital letter. The two sheets of drawings which are labeled "Figure 1" in the instant specification should be renumbered "Figures 1A and

Art Unit: 1646

1B". Applicant is reminded that once the drawings are changed to meet the separate numbering requirement of 37 C.F.R. 1.84(U)(1), Applicant is required to file an amendment to change the Brief Description of the Drawings and the rest of the specification accordingly. When Figure 1 is divided into Figures 1A and 1B then the Brief Description and all references to this figure in the specification must refer to Figures 1A and/or 1B.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

drawn to an invention with no apparent or disclosed specific and substantial credible utility. The instant application has provided a description of an isolated DNA encoding a protein and the protein encoded thereby. The instant application does not disclose a specific biological role for this protein or its significance to a particular disease, disorder of physiological process which one would wish to manipulate for a desired clinical effect.

The instant specification alleges that the protein identified therein as HTNAD29 is a platelet activating factor (PAF) receptor. The instant specification provides absolutely no experimental evidence that HTNAD29 actually binds to PAF or that it transduces a signal in response to such binding. Further, the instant specification does not provide a credible rationale for concluding that HTNAD29 binds to PAF. At best, Figure 2 of the instant application shows that the amino acid sequence of HTNAD29 shares 29% sequence identity with a protein known in the prior art to function as a PAF receptor. Because the differences between these two proteins exceed their similarities

an artisan of ordinary skill would not find the assertion that HTNAD29 is a PAF receptor to be credible in the absence of additional evidence or sound scientific reasoning in support of that conclusion.

It is clear from the instant specification that the receptor protein described therein as HTNAD29 is what is termed an "orphan receptor" in the art. This is a protein whose cDNA has been isolated because of its similarity to known proteins. There is little doubt that, after complete characterization, this protein may be found to have a specific and substantial credible utility. This further characterization, however, is part of the act of invention and until it has been undertaken Applicant's claimed invention is incomplete. The instant situation is directly analogous to that which was addressed in Brenner v. Manson, 148 U.S.P.Q. 689 (Sus. Ct, 1966), in which a novel compound which was structurally analogous to other compounds which were known to possess anti-cancer activity was alleged to be potentially useful as an anti-tumor agent in the absence of evidence supporting this utility. The court expressed the opinion that all chemical compounds are "useful" to the chemical arts when this term is given its broadest interpretation. However, the court held that this broad interpretation was not the intended definition of "useful" as it appears in 35 U.S.C. 101, which requires that an invention must have either an immediately obvious or fully disclosed "real world" utility. The court held that:

"The basic quid pro quo contemplated by the Constitution and the Congress for granting a patent monopoly is the benefit derived by the public from an invention with substantial utility", " [u]nless and until a process is refined and developed to this point-where specific benefit exists in currently available form-there is

Art Unit: 1646

insufficient justification for permitting an applicant to engross what may prove to be a broad field", and "a patent is not a hunting license", " [i]t is not a reward for the search, but compensation for its successful conclusion."

The instant claims are drawn to a receptor protein of as yet undetermined function or biological significance. There is absolutely no evidence of record or any line of reasoning that would support a conclusion the a protein of the instant invention is associated in any way with the plurality of causally unrelated disorders that are listed on page 22 of the instant specification. Until some actual and specific significance can be attributed to the protein identified in the specification as HTNAD29, or the gene encoding it, the instant invention is incomplete. The protein of the instant invention is a compound known to be structurally analogous to proteins which are known in the art as G protein-coupled receptors. In the absence of a knowledge of the natural ligands or biological significance of this protein, there is no immediately obvious patentable use for it. To employ a protein of the instant invention in the identification of substances which inhibit or induce its activity is clearly to use it as the object of further research which has been determined by the courts to be a utility which, alone, does not support patentability. Since the instant specification does not disclose a credible "real world" use for HTNAD29 then the claimed invention is incomplete and, therefore, does not meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101 as being useful.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,206

Art Unit: 1646

5) Claims 21 to 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to adequately teach how to use the instant invention for those reasons given above with regard to the rejection of these claims under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Page 6

6) Claims 29 to 37, 48 to 59, 68 to 75 and 84 to 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. These claims expressly require the deposited material recited therein. Applicant, their assignee or their agent needs to provide a declaration containing the following:

The identification of the declarant.

A statement that a deposit has been made in a depository affording permanence of the deposit and ready accessibility thereto by the public if a patent is granted. The depository is to be identified by name <u>and</u> address.

A statement that the deposited material has been accorded a specific, recited, accession number.

A statement that the material has been deposited under conditions that assure that access to the material will be available during the pendency of the patent application to one determined by the Commissioner to be entitled thereto under 37 C.F.R. 1.14 and 35 U.S.C. 122.

A statement that the deposited material will be maintained with all of the care necessary to keep it viable and uncontaminated for a period of at least five years after the most recent request for the furnishing of a sample of the deposited microorganism, and in any case, for a period of at least thirty years after the date of deposit or for the enforceable life of the patent, whichever period is longer.

A statement by declarant that all statement made therein of declarant's knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are

Art Unit: 1646

punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the instant patent application or any patent issuing thereon.

Alternately, it may be averred that deposited material has been accepted for deposit under the Budapest Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the Purposes of Patent Procedure (e.g., see 961 OG 21, 1977) and that all restrictions on the availability to the public of the material so deposited will be irrevocably removed upon the granting of a patent. Additionally, the deposit must be referred to in the body of the specification and be identified by deposit (accession number) number, name and address of the depository, and the complete taxonomic description.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

35 U.S.C. 120 states that:

An application for patent for an invention disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of section 112 of this title in an application previously filed in the United States, or as provided by section 363 of this title, which is filed by an inventor or inventors named in the previously filed application shall have the same effect, as to such invention, as though filed on the date of the prior application, if filed before the patenting or abandonment of or termination of proceedings on the first application or on an application similarly entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the first application and if it contains or is amended to contain a specific reference to the earlier filed application.

7) Claims 21 to 25, 27 to 34, 36 to 44, 46 to 56, 58 to 64, 66 to 72, 74 to 80 82 to 88, 90 and 91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the Mattson et al. patent publication (WO 98/32858, 30 July 1998, cited by Applicant).

Application/Control Number: 10/084,206 Page 8

Art Unit: 1646

SEQ ID NO:18 of Mattson et al. provided a written description of the claimed protein. Applicant is advised that the instant application can only receive benefit from an earlier application under 35 U.S.C. 120 from an application which meets the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, with respect to the now claimed invention. Because the instant application does not meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for those reasons given above and it is a divisional of application Serial Number 08/468,534, filed 06 June of 1995, the prior application also does not meet those requirements and, therefore, is unavailable under 35 U.S.C. 120.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John D. Ulm whose telephone number is (571) 272-0880. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00AM to 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (571) 272-0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN ULM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800